REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to item 2 of the Office Action, page 1 has been amended to recite the cross reference to related applications after the title. In the co-pending application section, the application numbers have been substituted for their granted patent numbers as requested by the Examiner.

In response to item 3, new drawings are submitted herewith in which the reference numbers not appearing in the description have been removed.

In response to item 4, claim 1 has been amended in the manner requested by the Examiner.

Claim 1has been amended to recite the subject matter of claim 4, which the Examiner has indicated is not found in the prior art. Claim 4 has been subsequently cancelled. Claims 2 and 3 remain unamended because it is considered that the elements previously defined in claim 4 are not reliant on the features of claims 2 and 3. It is further considered that claims 1 and 4 in combination without the limitations of claims 2 and 3 are sufficient to distinguish the claims from the prior art.

In light of the amendments to claim 1, the Applicant considers that the double patenting rejection has been traversed.

Applicant considers that this response is fully responsive to each of the issues raised in the Office Action and that by the amendments and arguments made herein the application has been placed in condition for allowance. Further consideration of the application is therefore respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant:

lusz

KIA SILVERBROOK

Applicant:

TOBIN ALLEN KING

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd

UK

393 Darling Street

Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email:


kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone:

+612 9818 6633

Facsimile:

+61 2 9555 7762



3/19




FIG. 3




FIG. 3a




FIG. 4

10/19




FIG. 9

16/19




FIG. 15




FIG. 16